

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/002,714

Applicant(s)

BOKISA ET AL.

Examiner

Ishwar (I. B.) Patel

Art Unit

2827

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(2) Thomas W. Adams (35,047).

Status of Application: being allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 August 2003

Time: -

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 4,234,621

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SUPERVISOR OF EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY 0000

IB Patel
9/1/03
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the applicant as the prior art US Patent No. 4,234,621, was not disclosing any immersion plating as claimed by the applicant in the response after final action. Applicant clarified that it was a typographical mistake. The correct prior is US Patent NO. 4,234,631, disclosing immersion plated and electroplated process and product. .